

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/040,603		01/07/2002	Rakesh Bhakta	406990	6079	
27717	7590	04/15/2005		EXAM	INER	
SEYFART	SEYFARTH SHAW				ALOMARI, FIRAS B	
55 EAST M SUITE 4200		STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO, IL 60603-5803			2136			
				DATE MAILED: 04/15/200	15	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/040,603	BHAKTA, RAKESH				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Firas Alomari	2136				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was reply to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sign of this within the statutory minimum of thir within the statutory minimum of thir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		~				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 Ja	anuary 2002.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-26 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☒ acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeya tion is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in prity documents have bee nu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 04/19/2004.	Paper No	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 				

Art Unit: 2136

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 11-14 and 17-24 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite in that it fails to point out what is included or excluded by the claim language. This claim is an omnibus type claim.
- 3. The term "close similarity" in claims 11 and 17 is a relative term which renders the claim indefinite. The term "close similarity" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention. Claims 12-14 and 18-24 are rejected on the virtue of their dependency on claims 11 and 17.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this
 Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Art Unit: 2136

2. Claim1-22 and 24-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bradford et al. US (6,612,928).

Regarding claim 1: Bradford discloses a gaming device comprising: a gaming terminal, configured for playing at least a first game; (Col 10, Lines 21-22 and Col 33, Lines 51-65) a button for pressing by a game player as a part of said game; (Col 10, Line 29 and Col 33, Lines 51-65) a biometric device for measuring biometric data of the game player by sensing said biometric data through said button as it is pressed by the game player. (Col 33, Lines 27-29)

Regarding claims 2 and 10: Bradford discloses the gaming device of claim 1 in which parameters of a fingerprint of the game player are sensed directly through said button as said biometric data, said button being transparent. (Col 34, Lines 21-29)

Regarding Claim 3: Bradford discloses the gaming device of claim 2 in which said terminal carries a comparator for comparing the parameters of the game player's fingerprint with parameters obtained from another source, for player identification. (Col 32, Lines 26-32 and Col 35, Lines 4-13)

Regarding claims 4, 8, 13, 14 and 15: The method of claim 12 in which said second biometric data is obtained from a data storage device carried by the game player. (Col 32, Lines 26-32 and Col 10 lines 36-40)

Art Unit: 2136

Regarding claim 5, 9, 14, 16 and 20: The gaming device of claim 4 in which said data storage device is a "smart card", comprising a microprocessor. (Col 9, Lines 49-56 and Col 5, lines 36-53)

Regarding Claim 6: Bradford discloses the gaming device of claim 4 further comprising a device for storing the measured biometric data of the game player for later access. (Col 35, Lines 2-4 and Col 36, lines 3-6)

Regarding claim 7: Bradford discloses a gaming device comprising: a gaming terminal, configured for playing of at least a first game; (Col 10, Lines 21-22 and Col 33, Lines 51-65) a button for pressing by a game player as part of said game; (Col 10, Line 29 and Col 33, Lines 51-65) a biometric device for measuring parameters of a fingerprint of the game player as the player touches the button by sensing the biometric data through the button as it pressed by the game player; (Col 33, Lines 27-29) said terminal also carrying a comparator for comparing the parameters of the game players' fingerprint with parameters obtained from another source, for player identification; (Col 35, Lines 4-13) and further comprising a device for storing the measured biometric data of the game player for later access. (Col 35, Lines 2-4 and Col 36, lines 3-6)

Regarding Claims 11 and 17: Bradford discloses a gaming method comprising: acquiring first biometric data of a game player by observing said data through a

Art Unit: 2136

button of a gaming machine when touched by the game player; (Col 32, Lines 41-44) comparing said biometric data with second biometric data provided by another source; (Col 32, lines 43-45) and activating said gaming machine for play by the game player if said first and second biometric data have a close similarity. (Col 32, Lines 44-49 and Col 35, Lines 10-19)

Regarding claims 12 and 18: The method of claim 11 in which said first and second biometric data each comprise parameters of a fingerprint. (Col 32, Line 43)

Regarding claims 21 and 24: Bradford discloses the method of claim 11 in which said first biometric data of the game player is directly observed through said machine button when touched by the game player, said button being transparent. (Col 34, Lines 21-29)

Regarding Claims 25 and 26: Bradford discloses the device of claim 1 in which said biometric device senses said biometric data directly as a signal passing through said button. (Col 34, Lines 21-29 and Col 35, Lines 35-51)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to

Art Unit: 2136

be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 22 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bradford et al. US (6,612,928) in view of Stratford et al. US Published Application (2002/0021001).

Regarding Claims 22 and 23: Bradford discloses a gaming method comprising acquiring first biometric data of a game player through a button on the gaming machine; (Col 32, Lines 41-44) storing biometric data obtained from the player to be used later; (Col 35, Lines 2-4 and Col 36, lines 3-6) comparing biometric data with second biometric data provided by another source; (Col 32, lines 43-45) and activating the gaming machine for play if there is a match. (Col 32, Lines 44-49 and Col 35, Lines 10-19) but he doesn't explicitly disclose the system storing the biometric data if a mismatch occurs. However Stratford discloses a biometric identification system (see abstract) for real time on-the-spot identification of a card owner (Paragraph 0011, Lines 1-5) wherein the system compares the person finger print to the finger print on the card and stores the fingerprint data if a mismatch occurs (Paragraph 0013, Lines 6-14, item 485 FIG. 4, item 525 FIG. 5 and item 625 FIG.6). therefore it would have been obvious to ordinary skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Bradford gamming method with the teachings of Stratford to include the step of storing players biometric data when there is a mismatch between the actual player's fingerprint data and the fingerprint data stored on the card. One would be motivated to do so in order

Page 7

Application/Control Number: 10/040,603

Art Unit: 2136

enable the system to log failed attempts to use the system and identify users trying to make unauthorized use of the gamming machine.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Firas Alomari whose telephone number is (571) 272-7963. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 7:30 am - 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, AYAZ SHEIKH can be reached on (571) 272-3795. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Firas Alomari Examiner Art Unit 2136

> SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINI TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100